

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/645,698 08/20/2003 Makoto Yokota 245402007300 9292 25226 7590 06/23/2005 EXAMINER MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP MENEFEE, JAMES A 755 PAGE MILL RD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018 2828

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AK.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/645,698	YOKOTA, MAKOTO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James A. Menefee	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ippears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	May 2005.	
	his action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde</li> </ol>	•	·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-8 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	vn from consideration.	:
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 January 2004 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to t  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objoint he drawing(s) be held in abeyance rection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appringerity documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/20/2003.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .

Application/Control Number: 10/645,698

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-6, in the reply filed on 5/3/2005 is acknowledged. Claims 7-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: "Po" in Fig. 7, and "Pr" in Figs. 1, 3, 5, and 8-9.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not

accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chand et al. (US 5,440,575). See esp. Fig. 4 and discussion.

Regarding claim 1, Chand discloses a semiconductor laser wherein a silicon oxide film 401 is formed so as to be in contact with at least one end of a semiconductor laser element crystal 20 as an end face protection film.

Regarding claim 2, the silicon oxide forming the film has an index of refraction of at least 1.6. See col. 7 lines 8-12.

Regarding claim 4, another film 402 is formed outside of the silicon oxide film.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagai et al. (US 5,095,489).

Regarding claim 1, Nagai discloses as in Fig. 5(e) a semiconductor laser wherein a silicon oxide film 10,11 formed so as to be in contact with at least one end of a semiconductor laser element crystal 1-5 as an end face protection film. See col. 4 lines 42-54.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,698

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claim 3, Nagai discloses the reflectivity of the emission side is 0-15%, while that of the back face is 80-100%. Col. 4 lines 51-54. These overlap with the claimed ranges of 6-17% and 85-100%. When the prior art discloses a range that touches, overlaps or is within the claimed range, but no specific examples falling within the claimed range are disclosed, the prior art anticipates if the claimed subject matter is disclosed in the reference with "sufficient specificity to constitute an anticipation under the statute." MPEP 2131.03. Here the claimed ranges seem to just be specifying that the reflective end be highly reflecting, while the emitting end be fairly lowly reflective. This appears similar to the purpose of the prior art ranges. Nor do the claimed ranges appear to offer any unexpected results. Therefore it is concluded that the prior art discloses the ranges with sufficient specificity and therefore claim 3 is anticipated.

Regarding claim 4, Nagai also notes that the coating may be a multi-layer film, col. 4 lines 49-51, therefore "another" film is disclosed.

Claims 1 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suhara (US 5,144,635).

Regarding claim 1, Suhara discloses a semiconductor laser wherein a silicon oxide film is formed to be in contact with at least one end of a semiconductor laser element crystal as an end face protection film. Col. 1 lines 24-29.

Regarding claims 4-5, Suhara discloses that another film of alumina may be formed outside the silicon oxide film. Col. 1 lines 32-34.

Art Unit: 2828

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Chand, Nagai, and Suhara. Each disclose the limitations of the parent claims as shown above, but do not disclose the particular thickness of the silicon oxide film. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to choose an appropriate thickness of the film, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value or optimal range of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980); *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). The film thickness will achieve a recognized result, i.e. affecting reflectivity, and therefore may be considered a result effective variable.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Menefee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1944. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MinSun Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,698

Art Unit: 2828

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Menefee June 20, 2005